

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,891	02/08/2006	Henning Von Spreckelsen	FIL-001	7288	
22832 K&L Gates LI	7590 09/26/200 P	EXAM	EXAMINER		
STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER One Lincoln Street BOSTON, MA 02111-2950			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		3781			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567,891 VON SPRECKELSEN ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBIN HYLTON	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Edensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the state of the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MORTHS from the maining date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the state of the sta	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	have been received. have been received in Application of the Applicati	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Tackosare Statement(s) (PTO-9560) Paper Nos(S)Mail Date 2-9-06: 9-27-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-8-06; 9-27-06.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plastic material in the cross sectional views and the two spaced parallel legs rooted side by side on the peninsula (as set forth in claim 6) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. MPEP § 608.02(d) depicts plastic as alternating thick and thin lines.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show plastic material as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d) depicts plastic as alternating thick and thin lines. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Spreckelsen et al. (GB 2,353,789 and GB 2,377,701) in view of Mavin et al. (EP 1,266,839).

Spreckelsen discloses a closure comprising a spout, a removable plastic part connected to the spout by frangible means, a pulling device attached to the removable plastic part by a leg, and a sealing means between the pulling device and the removable plastic part. Spreckelsen does not disclose the leg is attached to a peninsula of the removable part to tear the sealing means.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,891

Art Unit: 3781

Mavin teaches it is known to provide a peninsula portion as claimed for foil sealed closures with opening devices in order to prevent a dissipation of the pulling force to assure the application of the pulling force on a predefined, comparatively small region of the plastic part that is attached to the sealing means.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a peninsula structure to the removable plastic part of Spreckelsen. Doing so prevents a dissipation of the pulling force to assure the application of the pulling force on a predefined, comparatively small region of the plastic part that is attached to the sealing means.

Other claimed features of the instant invention would have been an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since the features would have involved substituting known equivalent structures for a pull device and/or removable plastic part.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawajiri et
 (US 4,948,015) in view of Mavin et al. (EP 1,266,839).

Kawajiri discloses a closure comprising a spout, a removable plastic part connected to the spout by frangible means, a pulling device attached to the removable plastic part by a leg, and a sealing means between the pulling device and the removable plastic part. Spreckelsen does not disclose the leg is attached to a peninsula of the removable part to tear the sealing means.

Mavin teaches it is known to provide a peninsula portion as claimed for foil sealed closures with opening devices in order to prevent a dissipation of the pulling force to assure the application of the pulling force on a predefined, comparatively small region of the plastic part that is attached to the sealing means.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a peninsula structure to the removable plastic part of Kawajiri.

Doing so prevents a dissipation of the pulling force to assure the application of the pulling force on a predefined, comparatively small region of the plastic part that is attached to the sealing means.

Other claimed features of the instant invention would have been an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since the features would have involved substituting known equivalent structures for a pull device and/or removable plastic part.

Conclusion

- 6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely

asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date.	So faxed, before the period for reply has
expired, the reply may be considered timely. A sugg	ested format for a certificate follows:

I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No.	is being facsimiled to				
The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:					
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate					

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate						
Signature	_					
Date						

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781